

DRAFT EIR PUBLIC REVIEW SUMMARY REPORT

**City of Santa Cruz and Soquel Creek
Water District (scwd²)**

Regional Seawater Desalination Project

October 2013

Prepared for:

City of Santa Cruz Water Department
Soquel Creek Water District

Prepared by:

URS

Table of Contents

1	PURPOSE OF THE REPORT	2
2	ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS.....	2
3	DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT.....	4
3.1	NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY	4
3.2	NOTIFICATION OF EXTENSION OF PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD	6
3.3	OPPORTUNITIES FOR PUBLIC COMMENT	7
4	PUBLIC HEARINGS	8
4.1	OPEN HOUSE	8
4.2	PRESENTATION AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.....	9
5	SUMMARY OF COMMENTERS	10

List of Appendices

Appendix A – Notification Materials

- Notice of Availability and Mailers
- Press Releases
- Display Ads
- Email Notices
- On-Site Posted Notices
- On-Site Hearing Notices

Appendix B – Public Hearing Materials

- Agenda and Open House Programs
- Open House Exhibit Boards
- Presentation

Appendix C – Comments Received on the Draft EIR

- Submitted Written Comments
- Transcripts of Verbal Comments

1 PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The City of Santa Cruz (City) and the Soquel Creek Water District (District), as joint Lead Agencies, prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR) pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the CEQA Guidelines, to evaluate the potential environmental effects of the proposed scwd² Regional Seawater Desalination Project (proposed project). The proposed project, as described in the Draft EIR, entails the construction and operation of a seawater desalination plant and related facilities that would be shared by the City and the District, with a capacity of 2.5 million gallons per day (mgd) and potential expandability to 4.5 mgd. The Draft EIR provides an overview and detailed project description for the proposed project (http://www.scwd2desal.org/Page-EIR_Docs.php).

On May 13, 2013, the Lead Agencies formally issued a Notice of Availability stating that the Draft EIR was available for a 60-day public review period from May 13, 2013 until July 15, 2013. The original 60-day public review period constituted an extension of the normal 45-day review period required by CEQA. Two public hearings were held on June 3, 2013 and July 1, 2013, to solicit comments on the Draft EIR. As a result of initial comments received from the public, the review period was extended an additional 30 days, to August 12, 2013, to provide more time for the public to participate in the review process.

This report summarizes the Draft EIR public review process and provides a detailed account of the public noticing and public hearings that were conducted, and the comments received on the Draft EIR. This report was prepared in response to requests from some community members that the Draft EIR comments be made available as soon as possible and in advance of completion of the Final EIR. Under CEQA, public comments on a Draft EIR are not required to be published and are not typically published until a Final EIR is completed. Responses to these comments have not yet been prepared, but under CEQA are required to be prepared and included in a Final EIR. This report's appendices contain copies of all the public notices, meeting materials and public comments received during the public review period.

In accordance with CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines, all comments are required to be responded to in writing as part of the Final EIR.

2 ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS

In compliance with CEQA, the City and District are conducting a thorough environmental review of the proposed project. CEQA is a California statute that requires state and local agencies to identify any significant environmental impacts of the projects they propose and/or approve.

The proposed project has been studied in a Draft EIR. An EIR is an informational document that evaluates the potential environmental impacts of a proposed project and provides key data and analysis for decision-makers and the public. An EIR must be considered by a public agency or

agencies and certified prior to approval or disapproval of a project. An EIR identifies and evaluates the significant environmental impacts of a project, whether the impacts can be avoided or reduced, and alternatives to the project that should also be considered.

The *Integrated Water Plan Program Environmental Impact Report* (State Clearinghouse #203102140) (IWP Program EIR) was prepared and certified by the Santa Cruz City Council in 2005 (City, 2005a). This document evaluated the City's IWP elements, including fully implementing the City's Water Conservation Plan, curtailing water use during droughts, and developing a supplemental water supply consisting of a seawater desalination plant and related facilities, to address water supply shortages during drought. In accordance with the CEQA Guidelines, a Program EIR may be prepared on a series of actions, such as the IWP elements noted above, that can be characterized as one project, and are related in some way. The IWP Program EIR evaluated both a City-only and a regional desalination project in conjunction with the District.

The current Draft EIR provides a more focused project-level analysis of the proposed 2.5-mgd desalination plant and related facilities. While it is unknown if or when an expansion or expansions up to 4.5 mgd would take place, the Draft EIR also provides an updated program-level analysis within each topical section to address the potential future expansion of the project.

The first step in the preparation of the Draft EIR, called scoping, allowed the public and interested parties to help define the issues and alternatives to be evaluated in the EIR. A Notice of Preparation/Initial Study was issued on November 15, 2010, to notify all interested parties that the Lead Agencies would be preparing an EIR to evaluate the potential environmental impacts of the proposed project. During the scoping period (November 15, 2010 to January 10, 2011), the public provided input on the environmental topics, potential effects, mitigation measures, and alternatives to be analyzed in the EIR, as summarized in the *Scoping Report* for the project (http://www.scwd2desal.org/documents/Draft_EIR/Draft_EIR_Appendices/Appendix%20A_scwd2ScopingSummary.pdf). Following scoping, technical and environmental studies were conducted to inform and complete the Draft EIR.

During the 90-day public review period the public had the opportunity to provide formal comments on the Draft EIR: in writing for the duration of the comment period, and orally and/or in writing at two public hearings held on June 3, 2013 and July 1, 2013. All comments received during the public review period are included in Appendix C to this report. In accordance with CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines, all comments are required to be responded to in writing as part of a Final EIR. A Final EIR can also include revisions to a Draft EIR. Additional information about the environmental review process for the proposed project is provided in Section 2, Introduction, of the Draft EIR (http://www.scwd2desal.org/documents/Draft_EIR/2%20-0_Intro_DEIR.pdf).

3 DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

3.1 Notice of Availability

The City and District formally initiated the public review period for the Draft EIR by publicly issuing the Notice of Availability (NOA) on May 13, 2013. The NOA provided a summary of the project description, environmental impacts, and alternatives. It also provided information about the public review period, how to access the Draft EIR, details regarding the two public hearings, and instructions on how to submit comments on the Draft EIR.

A variety of methods were used to inform the public of the availability of the Draft EIR and public review period, as described below.

- **NOA or NOA Mailer Sent via Postal or Overnight Mail:** At the beginning of the public review period, local agencies, relevant regulatory agencies, and property owners and occupants within approximately 300 feet of the project area received a NOA or NOA mailer describing the Draft EIR, the public review period, and public meeting information for the proposed project. The NOA was sent to the agencies and elected officials and the NOA mailer was sent to property owners. The NOA was also hand-delivered to the County Clerk of the Board and the City Clerk and Planning Department for posting. The NOA and NOA mailer both included a description of the project, maps of the project area, and information on the opportunities for public input. (*See Appendix A*)
- **Press Release Sent to Local Media:** A press release was distributed to local media outlets on May 10, 2013 for distribution to their readers. The press release announced the release of the Draft EIR. It included a brief description of the project and information on the opportunities for public input, including information about the date, locations, and times of the public hearings. (*See Appendix A*)
- **Display Advertisement Placed in Local Newspapers:** Display advertisements were placed in local newspapers to inform readers of the availability of the Draft EIR and public hearings. The display advertisement provided a brief description of the project and information about the date, locations, and times of the public hearings. Additional advertisements for the July 1st public meeting were also placed in these newspapers (except for City on a Hill Press, which is not published during the summer). (*See Appendix A*)
 - Aptos Times: May 15 and July 1, 2013 editions
 - City on a Hill Press: May 16, 2013 edition
 - Good Times: May 16 and June 27, 2013 editions
 - Capitola-Soquel Times: June and July 2013 editions
 - Santa Cruz Sentinel: May 13, 2013 and June 25, 2013 editions

- Santa Cruz Weekly: May 15 and June 26, 2013 editions
- **Notices Sent via Email:** Email notices were sent to addresses on the project email list to notify the recipients about the availability of the Draft EIR and public hearings. The emails included a brief description of the project, information on how to access the Draft EIR and information on the opportunities for public input, including information about the date, locations, and times of the public hearings. (*See Appendix A*)
- **Information Posted to Project Website:** Electronic copies of the NOA, Draft EIR and information on the public hearings were made available on the scwd² Desalination Program website at http://www.scwd2desal.org/Page-EIR_Docs.php.
- **Information Posted On-Site at Project Locations:** Informational signs were posted at the sites of all proposed aboveground project facilities, including all eight alternative intake pump station sites, all three alternative plant sites, and the site of the Morrissey Pump Station upgrades. At least one sign was posted at each site. In most cases more than one sign was posted, especially on the larger sites. A total of 21 signs were posted. The signs were 22 × 17 inches and placed in the public right-of-way directly in front of or adjacent to the sites.

The NOA and Notice of Completion form, along with copies of the Draft EIR, were sent to the State Clearinghouse, which distributed it to involved state agencies. In addition, the NOA, the Executive Summary of the Draft EIR, and an electronic copy of the Draft EIR were sent directly to federal, state, and local agencies. The NOA and Draft EIR Executive Summary were also sent to individuals and organizations who had requested a copy.

The Draft EIR was also available for public review during the comment period at the following locations:

- Public libraries within the agencies' service areas:
 - Downtown Branch, 224 Church Street, Santa Cruz
 - Branciforte Branch, 230 Gault Street, Santa Cruz
 - Garfield Park Branch, 705 Woodrow Avenue, Santa Cruz
 - Live Oak Branch, 2380 Portola Drive, Santa Cruz
 - Capitola Branch, 2005 Wharf Road, Capitola
 - Aptos Branch, 7695 Soquel Drive, Aptos
 - La Selva Branch, 316 Estrella Avenue, La Selva Beach
 - Scotts Valley Branch, 251 Kings Village Road, Scotts Valley
 - Porter Memorial Library, 3050 Porter Street, Soquel

- Soquel Creek Water District Offices, 5180 Soquel Drive, Soquel
- City of Santa Cruz Water Department, 212 Locust Street, Suite C, Santa Cruz
- County Clerk of the Board, 701 Ocean Street, Room 500, Santa Cruz
- City of Santa Cruz, City Clerk, 809 Center Street Room 9, Santa Cruz

Via the original NOA and NOA mailer, interested agencies, public officials, and members of the public were invited to submit formal comments on the Draft EIR until 5 p.m. on July 15, 2013. See the section below for notifications regarding the further extension of the public review period.

3.2 Notification of Extension of Public Review Period

Initially, the City of Santa Cruz and Soquel Creek Water District provided an extended 60-day period for the public to read and provide comments on the Draft EIR. Several Santa Cruz and District area residents asked the agencies for an extension to the comment period, citing the desire for more time to read the Draft EIR and prepare their comments. The public review period was therefore extended by an additional 30 days, bringing it to a 90-day review period which ended on August 12, 2013. Notice of the extension was provided through the following means:

- **Notice of Extension Mailer Sent via Postal Mail:** On July 9, 2013, a mailer describing the extension of the public review period was sent to property owners and occupants within approximately 300 feet of the project area, as well as those people who had provided postal addresses on the sign-in sheets from the June 3rd public meeting. The mailer also included information on how to access the Draft EIR and how to submit comments. *(See Appendix A)*
- **Notice of Extension of Public Review Period Sent via Overnight Mail:** On July 3, 2013, a Notice of Extension of Public Review Period was sent to the State Clearinghouse and to federal, state and local agencies that previously received the NOA. This document was also hand-delivered to the County Clerk of the Board and the City Clerk and Planning Department for posting. *(See Appendix A)*
- **Press Releases Sent to Local Media:** A press release was distributed to local media outlets on June 28, 2013 for distribution to their readers. The press release announced the extension of the public review period and also issued a reminder about the second public meeting on July 1, 2013. A correction to the June 28 press release was distributed on June 30, 2013, correcting the name of the church where the second public meeting was to be held. *(See Appendix A)*

- **Display Advertisement Placed in Local Newspapers:** Display advertisements were placed in the following local newspapers to inform readers of the extension of the public review period. (*See Appendix A*)
 - Aptos Times: July 15, 2013 edition
 - Good Times: July 25, 2013 edition
 - Santa Cruz Weekly: July 24, 2013 edition
 - Capitola-Soquel Times: August 2013 edition
 - Santa Cruz Sentinel: July 14, 2013 edition
- **Notices Sent via Email:** Email notices were sent to addresses on the project email list to notify the recipients about the extension of the public review period. (*See Appendix A*)
- **Information Posted to Project Website:** Information on the project website, <http://www.scwd2desal.org>, was updated to reflect the new deadline for public comments on the Draft EIR.
- **Information Posted On-Site at Project Locations:** The existing signs at the sites of above-ground project facilities were updated with stickers explaining the extension of the public review period and stating the new deadline for public comments on the Draft EIR.

3.3 Opportunities for Public Comment

Agencies and members of the public were provided multiple opportunities to submit comments on the Draft EIR. Public comments were accepted in writing for the duration of the public comment period, from May 13, 2013 until August 12, 2013. Written comments were accepted via email and postal mail. Public comments were received by Heidi Luckenbach, scwd² Desalination Program Coordinator, either by posted mail or email at the addresses below.

Postal Mail:

Heidi Luckenbach
scwd² Desalination Program Coordinator
City of Santa Cruz, Water Department
212 Locust Street, Suite C
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Email: hluckenbach@cityofsantacruz.com

Verbal and written comments were also accepted at two public hearings, which were held on June 3, 2013 and July 1, 2013, as detailed below in Section 4.

4 PUBLIC HEARINGS

The City and the District held two public hearings, one daytime meeting in the District’s service area on June 3, 2013 and one evening meeting in the City’s service area on July 1, 2013. Interested participants were invited to attend either or both hearings.

The July 1st public hearing was an agenda item on the regularly scheduled City Water Commission meeting, and as such it was a Brown Act meeting and was noticed accordingly. In fact, both public hearings were noticed according to the Brown Act, and the following notice was posted at each public hearing site, as well as City Hall, the City of Santa Cruz Water Department, and the City of Santa Cruz Planning Department: *“It is possible that a majority of the City Council, Soquel Creek Water District Board, or City Water Commission could be present at the public hearings.”* Such wording was also included on stickers which were added to the onsite posted notices at the potential sites of the aboveground project facilities.

The same presentation, handouts, and staff were available at each meeting. Additionally, each meeting followed the same format: a 30-minute open house, a 30-minute presentation, and then a formal oral comment period where attendees could provide their comments verbally. These comments were transcribed by a court reporter. Transcriptions of the meeting are contained in Appendix C. The table below provides information about the location, time, format, and content of each meeting.

	District’s Service Area	City’s Service Area
Location	Seacliff Inn 7500 Old Dominion Court Aptos, CA	First Congregation Church 900 High Street Santa Cruz, CA
Time	12:00 p.m. – 2:30 p.m.	6:30 p.m. – 9:00 p.m.
Format	12:00 p.m. – 1:00 p.m. Open House 1:00 p.m. – 1:30 p.m. Presentation 1:30 p.m. – 2:30 p.m. Oral Comment Period	6:30 p.m. – 7:30 p.m. Open House 7:30 p.m. – 8:00 p.m. Presentation 8:00 p.m. – 10:00 p.m. Oral Comment Period
Public Participation	76 participants signed in 26 participants provided verbal comments during the oral comment period	226 participants signed in 48 participants provided verbal comments during the oral comment period

4.1 Open House

The open house provided an opportunity for participants to view exhibit boards with project information and ask questions of staff representatives and project team members. Attendees viewed exhibits on background information describing the purpose of the proposed project, project description and related mapping, conclusions of the Draft EIR impact analysis, alternatives analysis, and the CEQA environmental review process. Specifically, the exhibits stations included:

- **Welcome Station:** Sign-in table, comment sheets, speaker cards, public meeting agenda and open house program, and project information.
- **Reading Station:** Hard copies of the Draft EIR available for review.
- **Project Background and Need for the Project:** Information on why the project is being evaluated and why the proposed project is needed for the City and the District.
- **Project Description:** Description of how the desalination process works; maps and description of project component alternatives; rendering of conceptual architecture and landscaping.
- **Alternatives:** Overview of the alternatives to the proposed project that were considered in the Draft EIR and description of the screening process used to determine which alternatives were evaluated in detail.
- **Environmental Review Process:** Key environmental findings of the Draft EIR; information on how to submit comments and participate in the public review process; timeline and next steps.
- **Public Comment Station:** Comment cards, comment box, information on how to effectively comment, and contact information.

See *Appendix B* for copies of the agenda and open house program, and exhibit boards.

4.2 Presentation and Opportunity for Public Comment

A 30-minute presentation was made at each meeting to explain the purpose of the meeting, provide an overview of CEQA and the environmental review process, and provide an overview of the Draft EIR including the need for the project, primary project objectives, components of the project, environmental design features, summary of key environmental impact conclusions, and information on project alternatives. Information was also presented with respect to how to participate in the Draft EIR review and how comments received will be responded to. (*See Appendix B*)

The presentation was followed by the oral comment period. Participants who desired to make a formal, verbal comment were able to do so by submitting a speaker card with their name. Speakers were then called to the microphone in order of submittal and either gave their public comment verbally, or requested that a staff member read their comment aloud. Participants were asked to be mindful of a three-minute time limit but were assured that the meeting would last until all public comments were heard. A court reporter was available to record the verbal comments of each person who spoke. (*See Appendix C*)

Hard copy comment cards were also available at the welcome table and comment station, so that meeting attendees could provide written comments at the meeting.

5 SUMMARY OF COMMENTERS

A total of 405 comments were received on the Draft EIR, which comprised 331 written comments, as well as 74 verbal comments received at the public hearings. Approximately 300 separate individuals, organizations, or agencies commented on the Draft EIR. The following table lists all of the commenters who provided written comments during the public review period.

As indicated previously, responses to these comments have not yet been prepared. CEQA requires that public comments received on a Draft EIR be responded to as part of the Final EIR for a given project.

Committer Name	Date	Code
A. FEDERAL AGENCIES		
NOAA Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary	8/6/2013	A1
NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service	7/10/2013	A2
NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service	7/19/2013	A2
US Fish and Wildlife Service	8/7/2013	A4
B. STATE AGENCIES		
California Coastal Commission	5/20/2013	B1
California Coastal Commission	8/12/2013	B2
California Department of Fish and Wildlife	8/13/2013	B3
California Department of Parks and Recreation	8/12/2013	B4
California Department of Transportation	8/12/2013	B5
California Public Utilities Commission	8/7/2013	B6
California State Lands Commission	8/8/2013	B7
State Water Resources Control Board	5/31/2013	B8
Governor's Office of Planning and Research	8/14/2013	B9
Governor's Office of Planning and Research	8/14/2013	B10
C. REGIONAL AGENCIES		
Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board	8/12/2013	C1
Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control Board	8/12/2013	C2
D. LOCAL AGENCIES		
Santa Cruz City Schools	6/25/2013	D1
Santa Cruz County Planning and Environmental Health	8/12/2013	D2

Commenter Name	Date	Code
E. ORGANIZATIONS AND BUSINESSES		
Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo on behalf of California Unions for Reliable Energy	8/12/2013	E1
Aqueous Jepson Technologies	7/3/2013	E2
Ascent Solutions LLC	8/11/2013	E3
Ecology Action	8/12/2013	E4
Habitat and Watershed Caretakers	5/31/2013	E5
Habitat and Watershed Caretakers	6/25/2013	E6
Monterey Bay Conservancy	8/12/2013	E7
Residents of Westside Santa Cruz	6/22/2013	E8
Santa Cruz County Business Council	8/12/2013	E9
Santa Cruz Desal Alternatives	6/11/2013	E10
Santa Cruz Desal Alternatives	8/12/2013	E11
Surfers Environmental Alliance	7/12/2013	E12
Surfrider	8/12/2013	E13
Villa de Branciforte Historical Preservation Society	7/1/2013	E14
Villa de Branciforte Historical Preservation Society	6/13/2013	E15
Volker, Stephan C on behalf of Habitat and Watershed Caretakers	8/20/2013	E16
Wittwer & Parkin LLP on behalf of Community Water Coalition	5/20/2013	E17
Wittwer & Parkin LLP on behalf of Community Water Coalition	8/12/2013	E18
Wittwer & Parkin LLP on behalf of Save the Habitat	8/12/2013	E19
Ripley Pacific Company LLP	8/12/2013	E20
F. INDIVIDUALS		
Adams, Randall	5/12/2013	F1
Aird, John	8/12/2013	F2
Aitken, Erica	5/27/2013	F3
Aitken, Erica	6/19/2013	F4
Aitken, Erica	7/15/2013	F5
Allen, Jeremiah and Cathy	7/15/2013	F6
Anderson, Jeff	6/3/2013	F7
Barney, Michael	7/11/2013	F8
Barney, Michael	8/8/2013	F9
Barney, Michael	8/12/2013	F10
Baskin Greene, David	6/30/2013	F11
Baskin Greene, David	8/9/2013	F12

Commenter Name	Date	Code
F. INDIVIDUALS (continued)		
Bentley, Jim	6/2/2013	F13
Bentley, Jim	8/10/2013	F14
Bittner, Kristi	8/12/2013	F15
Boardman, Tom	7/1/2013	F16
Bobbe, Cherie	8/12/2013	F17
Boone, Troy	7/1/2013	F18
Boyd, Michael	7/1/2013	F19
Brocklebank, Jean	8/12/2013	F20
Brodsky, Michael	8/12/2013	F21
Brown, Paul	5/31/2013	F22
Brownlee, Bruce	7/1/2013	F23
Brownlee, Bruce	8/12/2013	F24
Burgess, Karen	6/16/2013	F25
Burgess, Karen	6/17/2013	F26
Bush, Craig	7/1/2013	F27
Cameron, Ken	5/31/2013	F28
Campbell, Kimberly	8/15/2013	F29
Capp, Louisa	7/11/2013	F30
Chapman, Sidney and Backstrom, Jon	7/1/2013	F31
Chen, Dan	7/3/2013	F32
Coffman, Robert and Susan	8/12/2013	F33
Cohen, Sandra	5/14/2013	F34
Cohen, Sandra	6/19/2013	F35
Comito, Bradley	8/12/2013	F36
Cook, James	7/6/2013	F37
Cotton, Greg	6/24/2013	F38
Cotton, Greg	8/11/2013	F39
Cunningham, Bill and Robin	8/12/2013	F40
Daniels, Bruce	7/1/2013	F41
Daniels, Bruce	8/9/2013	F42
Davies, Andy	7/1/2013	F43
Dawson, Megan	6/14/2013	F44
Detloff, Larry	7/1/2013	F45

Commenter Name	Date	Code
F. INDIVIDUALS (continued)		
Dixson, Jim	8/11/2013	F46
Dowling, H.	8/3/2013	F47
Dyer, Meredith	5/14/2013	F48
Easley, Anne	8/11/2013	F49
Elliot, A J	7/8/2013	F50
Elliot, A J	8/9/2013	F51
Engfer, Doug	6/19/2013	F52
English, Richard	6/17/2013	F53
Eselius, D G	6/6/2013	F54
Eselius, D G	6/17/2013	F55
Farmer, Ellen and Douglas, Coleen	8/11/2013	F56
Fieberling, Wilson	7/31/2013	F57
Forbes, Peter	5/14/2013	F58
Gardner, Dave	7/11/2013	F59
Garrett, Brett	8/12/2013	F60
Geer, Judy	7/1/2013	F61
Geiger, Fred	7/7/2013	F62
Giacchino, Aldo	8/8/2013	F63
Gibson, Barbara	7/1/2013	F64
Gibson, Ross	8/12/2013	F65
Go, Chandra	8/12/2013	F66
Go, David	8/11/2013	F67
Graham, Scott	8/12/2013	F68
Gratz, Paul	7/3/2013	F69
Gratz, Paul	7/5/2013	F70
Gratz, Paul	8/4/2013	F71
Gratz, Paul	8/9/2013	F72
Gratz, Paul	8/12/2013	F73
Gratz, Paul	8/12/2013	F74
Griffith, Jacquy	5/18/2013	F75
Griffith, Jacquy	5/21/2013	F76
Griffith, Jacquy	6/30/2013	F77
Griffith, Jacquy	8/12/2013	F78

Commenter Name	Date	Code
F. INDIVIDUALS (continued)		
Grobe, Karin	7/6/2013	F79
Grodberg, Eric	8/12/2013	F80
Grover, Jamie	8/12/2013	F81
Gruhn, Iseville	8/7/2013	F82
Gruhnstra, Judi	8/7/2013	F83
Haase, Peter	8/12/2013	F84
Haddad, Brent M.	8/6/2013	F85
Hadland, Norma	6/30/2013	F86
Haiken, Claire	7/1/2013	F87
Hall, Donna	7/1/2013	F88
Hambleton, Tom	7/6/2013	F89
Hansen, Kitty	8/10/2013	F90
Hanson, Freddie	6/19/2013	F91
Hanson, Freddie	6/30/2013	F92
Hanson, Michael and Fredericka	5/29/2013	F93
Hartley, David	8/12/2013	F94
Hensinger, Donald	8/9/2013	F95
Hensinger, Dorothy	7/5/2013	F96
Herold, Edward	7/6/2013	F97
Hertel, Nita	6/3/2013	F98
Hietela, Ken	6/30/2013	F99
Hoagland, Jim	7/12/2013	F100
Holt, Sue	8/8/2013	F101
Hurley, Kurt	8/12/2013	F102
Ingram, Leslie	7/1/2013	F103
Irwin, Stephanie	5/16/2013	F104
Jack, Gail	6/14/2013	F105
Johnson, Dion	7/1/2013	F106
Jolly, Jen	6/5/2013	F107
Jones, Helen	7/24/2013	F108
Jordan, Joe	8/12/2013	F109
karlasea03	7/19/2013	F110
Karst, Monica	5/21/2013	F111

Commenter Name	Date	Code
F. INDIVIDUALS (continued)		
Katz, Karen	6/7/2013	F112
Kauffman, Susan	8/12/2013	F113
Kerry, Theodora	5/24/2013	F114
Kirven, Christy	5/21/2013	F115
Kirven, Christy	8/12/2013	F116
Kowalski, Natasha	8/3/2013	F117
Krohn, Chris	7/1/2013	F118
Krohn, Chris	8/5/2013	F119
Krohn, Chris	8/12/2013	F120
LaFave, Dixie	6/19/2013	F121
Larenas, Monica	8/12/2013	F122
Larkins, Vivian	8/11/2013	F123
Lasciak, Valerie	5/31/2013	F124
Lasciak, Valerie	7/8/2013	F125
Laurain, Janet	6/28/2013	F126
Lawton, James	8/12/2013	F127
Lee, Arthur R.	8/11/2013	F128
Lerg, Judy K.	5/27/2013	F129
Levine, David	5/28/2013	F130
Levine, David	6/28/2013	F131
Levine, Valmarie	6/19/2013	F132
Levy, Liz	8/10/2013	F133
Levy, Seth	7/2/2013	F134
Lewis, Drew	5/20/2013	F135
Llewellyn, Roseann	7/9/2013	F136
Lovett, Jeanine	8/12/2013	F137
Lukasiewicz, Judy	5/13/2013	F138
Lwine, Lynora	8/12/2013	F139
Malone, Bill	8/12/2013	F140
Martin, Laura	7/16/2013	F141
Martin, Steve	8/8/2013	F142
Martinez, Susan	8/3/2013	F143
Matkin, Jack	7/9/2013	F144

Commenter Name	Date	Code
F. INDIVIDUALS (continued)		
Maxwell, Michael	8/12/2013	F145
McEvoy, Melinda	7/12/2013	F146
McGee, Josephine	8/12/2013	F147
McGilvray, Scott	8/12/2013	F148
McGuire, John	6/5/2013	F149
McGuire, John	6/9/2013	F150
McLaughlin, Sigrid	7/8/2013	F151
McLaughlin, Sigrid	8/12/2013	F152
Mead, George	8/12/2013	F153
Meirer, Michael	5/15/2013	F154
Michele	8/10/2013	F155
Miles, Gary	5/21/2013	F156
Miles, Gary	5/24/2013	F157
Miles, Gary	5/29/2013	F158
Miles, Gary	5/30/2013	F159
Miles, Gary	5/31/2013	F160
Miles, Gary	6/3/2013	F161
Miles, Gary	6/4/2013	F162
Miles, Gary	6/21/2013	F163
Miles, Gary	8/4/2013	F164
Miles, Gary and Margaret	6/3/2013	F165
Miller, Alan	5/19/2013	F166
Minardi, Bob and Mary	6/28/2013	F167
Minardi, Kenneth and Mary	8/12/2013	F168
Mio, Jane	8/12/2013	F169
Mirkovic, Marija	7/6/2013	F170
Moore, Mik	8/3/2013	F171
Muller, Alfred and Camilla	6/3/2013	F172
Mulvaney, Dustin	7/25/2013	F173
Munoz, Lilibeth	6/4/2013	F174
Murray, Jessica	6/12/2013	F175
Murtha, Ellen	8/12/2013	F176
Musselman, Mark	7/1/2013	F177

Commenter Name	Date	Code
F. INDIVIDUALS (continued)		
Naccari, Nicholas	7/12/2013	F178
Nelson, Jack	8/12/2013	F179
Newman, Steve	6/8/2013	F180
Newman, Steve	6/9/2013	F181
Newman, Steve	6/19/2013	F182
Newman, Steve	6/28/2013	F183
Newman, Steve	6/30/2013	F184
Newman, Steve	7/11/2013	F185
Noroyan, Richelle	7/1/2013	F186
Obregon, Ivo	7/8/2013	F187
Okimoto, Brian	8/12/2013	F188
Paduano, Daniel	5/13/2013	F189
Parker, Dorinda	6/18/2013	F190
Parker, Dorinda	8/12/2013	F191
Paul, Jerome	7/3/2013	F192
Paul, Jerome	7/8/2013	F193
Paul, Jerome	8/12/2013	F194
Peoples, Brian	6/6/2013	F195
Philibosian, Michelle	7/21/2013	F196
Plagemun, Lund and Cannon, on behalf of Rittenhouse, Manson, Plageman & Plageman	7/17/2013	F197
Plagemun, Lund and Cannon, on behalf of Rittenhouse & Manson	8/12/2013	F198
Pomerantz, Ron	8/9/2013	F199
Pyle, Kathy and Gordon	7/14/2013	F200
Reddington, Susan	5/28/2013	F201
Reddington, Susan	6/20/2013	F202
Reeb, Carol	8/6/2013	F203
Ringwald, Lydia	8/10/2013	F204
Ringwald, Lydia	8/12/2013	F205
Ringwald, Siegfried C.	8/5/2013	F206
Riverwoman, Barbara	5/21/2013	F207
Riverwoman, Barbara	7/25/2013	F208
Robertson, Carol	5/11/2013	F209
Rotkin, Mike	5/31/2013	F210

Commenter Name	Date	Code
F. INDIVIDUALS (continued)		
Ryan, Liz	8/12/2013	F211
Ryhanych, Marty	8/7/2013	F212
Sacco, Francis	6/30/2013	F213
Sanz, Montserrat	8/12/2013	F214
Schiffrin, Andy	6/24/2013	F215
Schlesinger, Zack	5/21/2013	F216
Schlesinger, Zack	7/8/2013	F217
Schlesinger, Zack	8/11/2013	F218
Schmidt, Richard	6/16/2013	F219
Schmuck, Johnathon	8/12/2013	F220
Schulze, Ken and Jan	7/7/2013	F221
Scott, Celia	8/8/2013	F222
Scott, Peter	8/9/2013	F223
Searle, Henry R	8/11/2013	F224
Sevy, Ellen	6/28/2013	F225
Sevy, Ellen	8/12/2013	F226
Shimokawa, Patti	8/1/2013	F227
Shuey, Dorah	7/1/2013	F228
Shuey, Dorah	7/8/2013	F229
Shuey, Dorah	8/9/2013	F230
Simmons, Elisa	5/28/2013	F231
Simpson, John W. and Carole Ann	7/4/2013	F232
Smallman, Bill	7/19/2013	F233
Smith, Alene O.	7/1/2013	F234
Smith, Dwight	8/8/2013	F235
Sohuk, Randa	7/1/2013	F236
Solovitch, Sara	5/28/2013	F237
Spalaris, Costas	7/1/2013	F238
Spalaris, Costas	7/3/2013	F239
Spalaris, Costas	7/12/2013	F240
Spalaris, Costas and Lenore	7/30/2013	F241
Spelce, Daniel	8/12/2013	F242
Spruit, Howard	6/3/2013	F243

Commenter Name	Date	Code
F. INDIVIDUALS (continued)		
Stanton, Charles	7/19/2013	F244
Steward, Stephen	8/12/2013	F245
Stidolph, Wayne	8/8/2013	F246
Stobbe, Mike	8/2/2013	F247
Svancara, Joe	7/14/2013	F248
Swann, Janet	6/26/2013	F249
Taiz, Lee	7/8/2013	F250
Taiz, Lee	7/9/2013	F251
Taiz, Lee	7/17/2013	F252
Taiz, Lee	8/1/2013	F253
Taiz, Lee	8/2/2013	F254
Taiz, Lincoln	6/28/2013	F255
Taiz, Lincoln	7/9/2013	F256
Taiz, Lincoln	7/21/2013	F257
Taiz, Lincoln	8/2/2013	F258
Timpany, Joan	7/17/2013	F259
Todd, Jude	7/25/2013	F260
Triona, Lara	8/12/2013	F261
Turner, Daryl J	5/15/2013	F262
Vaidya, Hemant	8/12/2013	F263
Vaillancourt, Diane	8/10/2013	F264
Van Allen, Bruce	5/16/2013	F265
Vandegrift, Robert	8/12/2013	F266
Vandegrift, Wendy	8/12/2013	F267
Vortman, Roger	7/2/2013	F268
Wagner, Elissa	8/11/2013	F269
Walliek, Claudia	7/1/2013	F270
Ward, Judy	8/12/2013	F271
Warmuth, Manfred	7/9/2013	F272
Weatherwax, Bill	8/11/2013	F273
Weatherwax, Denise	8/12/2013	F274
Weber, Gerald	8/9/2013	F275
Weisz, Russel	8/10/2013	F276

Commenter Name	Date	Code
F. INDIVIDUALS (continued)		
Whizin, Gary	8/11/2013	F277
Williams, Priscilla	6/11/2013	F278
Williams, Priscilla	7/10/2013	F279
Wilson, Connie and Tom	8/11/2013	F280
Wilson, Craig	5/10/2013	F281
Wirkman, Debra	5/14/2013	F282
Wirkman, Debra	7/2/2013	F283
Wirkman, Debra	8/7/2013	F284
Wirkman, Debra	8/12/2013	F285
Yaninek, Peter	7/12/2013	F286
Yett, Jane	5/22/2013	F287
Yett, Jane	7/8/2013	F288
Yukic, Fred	8/12/2013	F289
Zimmerman, Joan	8/11/2013	F290
Zscheile, Dick	6/12/2013	F291
Knoll, Daniel and Connie	7/15/2013	F292
Berman, Phillip and Kristin	8/11/2013	F293
G. TRANSCRIPTS FROM PUBLIC HEARINGS		
Transcript (26 speakers)	06-03-13	G1
Transcript (48 speakers)	07-01-13	G2

APPENDICES